

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,104	09/12/2003	Luca Pividori	02-AG-029/RR	6564
23334	7590 08/11/2006		EXAMINER	
FLEIT, KAIN, GIBBONS, GUTMAN, BONGINI & BIANCO P.L. ONE BOCA COMMERCE CENTER 551 NORTHWEST 77TH STREET, SUITE 111			ESTRADA, MICHELLE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	
BOCA RATON, FL 33487		DATE MAILED: 08/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/661,104	PIVIDORI, LUCA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michelle Estrada	2823
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17. This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	even from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the election defined and abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the election is required in the election is	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Drity documents have been receive Drity (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/17/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 6-13 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu et al. (2004/0048468).

Re claims 1 and 13, Liu et al. disclose forming a layer of BPSG (12) on a surface of an integrated circuit (10); and forming a transparent layer of nitride UV (14) above the layer of BPSG (See fig. 1); and after the step of forming the transparent layer of nitride

Art Unit: 2823

UV, etching the transparent layer of nitride UV so as to form at least one contact opening, where there is form plug 13. The transparency of the silicon nitride to UV light is an inherent property.

Re claims 6 and 16, Liu et al. disclose wherein the step of forming the transparent layer of nitride UV, the transparent layer of nitride UV is formed by deposition using an HDP process (Page 3, Paragraph [0048]).

Re claim 7, Liu et al. disclose wherein the transparent layer of nitride UV has a thickness of between about 100 Å and 500 Å (Page 3, paragraph [0048]).

Re claims 8 and 17, Liu et al. disclose wherein the step of forming the transparent layer of nitride UV, the transparent layer of nitride UV is formed by deposition using an CVD process (Page 3, Paragraph [0048]).

Re claim 9, Liu et al. disclose wherein the transparent layer of nitride UV has a thickness of between about 100 Å and 500 Å (Page 3, paragraph [0048]).

Re claims 10 and 19, Liu et al. disclose wherein the transparent layer of nitride UV has a thickness of between about 100 Å and 500 Å (Page 3, paragraph [0048]).

Re claims 11 and 18, Liu et al. disclose wherein the transparent layer of nitride UV has a thickness of between about 100 Å and 500 Å (Page 3, paragraph [0048]).

Art Unit: 2823

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5, 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al. as applied to claims 1, 6-13 and 16-19 above, and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Re claim 12, Liu et al. dislcose wherein the transparent layer of nitride UV has a thickness of between about 100 Å and 500 Å (Page 3, paragraph [0048]), which overlaps with the recited range of claim 12 (200-500 Å).

Liu et al. do not disclose after the step of forming a transparent layer of nitride.

UV, forming two overlapped layers of BARC and resist on the surface of the integrated circuit.

Re claims 2 and 14, AAPA discloses after the step of forming the BPSG layer, forming two overlapped layers of BARC and resist on the surface of the integrated circuit (Page 4, lines 6-11).

It would have been within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Liu et al. and AAPA to enable the BARC's and resist layers formation step of AAPA to be performed in the process of Liu et al. for the masks to be used in the exposure of the DUV rays.

Art Unit: 2823

Re claim 3, AAPA discloses wherein the etching step is performed after the step of forming two overlapped layers of BARC and resist (See Fig. 4 and Page 4, lines 12-15).

Re claims 4, 5 and 15, AAPA discloses before the step of forming a layer of BPSG, forming a USG oxide layer on the surface of the integrated circuit, the USG oxide layer having a thickness of between 500 Å and 2500 Å (Page 4, lines 23-25).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/17/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Examiner has introduced a new ground of rejection not necessitated by amendment of the applicant, and therefore the "final" status of the present office action is premature and should be withdrawn. However Applicant amended the claim 1 to say "after the set of forming the transparent layer of nitride UV, etching the transparent layer of nitride UV so as to form at least one contact opening", that is different from what it was claimed in original claim 3 "performing an etching step after the step of forming two overlapped layers of BARC and resist, chemically etching the integrated circuit so as to form contact openings. Therefore the final rejection mailed 12/13/05 was proper and necessitated by amendment.

The Examiner noted and revised the copy of the patent proposal made by Applicant prior to September 10, 2002. However, this evidence should be submitted with an Affidavit 1.131, therefore the claims stand rejected as above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Estrada whose telephone number is 571-272-1858. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2800.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

Art Unit 2823

ME

August 3, 2006